REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	24 May 2002
Name of applicant/court:	The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Reel Queer Inc.
Title of Publication:	Sugar High Glitter City
Other Known Titles:	Not Stated
Directors:	Shah Rednour, Jackie Strano
Producers:	Shah Rednour, Jackie Strano
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Video Recording VHS/NTSC
Country of Origin:	USA
Language:	English

● ●

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Sugar High Glitter City	Running time:	72.52
Trailers:	Toys In Babeland	Running time:	2.56
	Vixen Creations Advertisement		0.35
	Good Vibrations Advertisement		0.58
	How To Pick Up Girls		2.31
	The Stud Advertisement		0.17
	Sugar High Glitter City Outtakes		2.22
	Age Verification Notice		0.14
		Total Running time:	82.45

Excision/Alteration: Yes

Reason(s) for Excision:

One excision is required to remove part of a scene which results in the publication tending to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual activity in terms of s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993.

… Please turn over

Approved components of film after excision:

Feature:	Sugar High Glitter City	Running time:	72.49
Trailers:	Toys In Babeland	Running time:	2.57
	Vixen Creations Advertisement		0.36
	Good Vibrations Advertisement		0.58
	How To Pick Up Girls		2.33
	The Stud Advertisement		0.16
	Sugar High Glitter City Outtakes		2.23
		Total Amended Running time:	82.32

Classification Decision:

The part of the film specified for excision has been excised from the publication. Therefore, the film is classified as **Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.**

If the part of the film specified is not excised from the film the publication is classified as **Objectionable.**

Display Conditions:

Nil.

Descriptive Note:

Contains explicit sex scenes.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has been given on: 23 May 2002

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

Not Applicable.

OFLC Ref: 200526